UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION 7/26/
United	States of America,)	Case No. $\frac{3 \cdot 79 - m}{} - \frac{71766}{}$
Omica	States of America,	Ć	
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
~		ý	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Q	ing Yun Chen Defendant(s).)	
	Dejendani(s).) De	187127
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Trial Act from 18707 to 18707 and finds that the ends of justice served by the			
continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §			
3161(h)(7)(A)	. The court makes this finding	and bases thi	s continuance on the following factor(s):
	Failure to grant a continuance	would be like	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B		
	The case is so unusual or so c	complex, due t	o [check applicable reasons] the number of
	defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial		
	itself within the time limits es	stablished by t	his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	taking into account the exerci	ise of due dilig	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, give counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.		
	See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(E		
	Failure to grant a continuance	e would unreas	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time
	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
		£ 2 2	
<u>J</u>	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the		
	paragraph and — based on the	ne parties' show	wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending
	the time limits for a prelimina	ary hearing un	der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the
	exclusions set forth above). S	See Fed. R. Cri	im. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DI 10 217 M			
DATE	ED:		Sallie Kim
			United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:			
	Attorney for Defend	ant	Assistant United States Attorney